IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

DAVID DAVIS,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:06-cv-00544- VPM WHA
PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA, et al.	
Defendants.	

DEPOSITIONS OF DEFENDANTS WALLACE HUNTER, H.H. ROBERTS, AND JEFFREY HARDIN, AND DEPOSITIONS OF ROY WATERS AND BARBARA GOODWIN

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas A. Woodley Molly A. Elkin Bryan G. Polisuk WOODLEY & McGILLIVARY 1125 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 833-8855 Fax: (202) 452-109

J. Michael Cooper, Esq. FITZPATRICK, COOPER & CLARK LLP Farley Building, Suite 600 1929 Third Avenue North Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone (205) 320-2255 Fax: (205) 320-7444

Counsel for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT

Deposition of Wallace Hunter

IN THE	UNITED STATE	S DISTRIC	COURT
FOR TH	E MIDDLE DIST	TRICT OF A	LABAMA
	FASTERN DI	IVISION	

DAVID DAVIS,



Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 3:06-CV-0054-VPM vs.

CITY of PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA,

et al., 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

2.2

23

24

25

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF WALLACE BURNS HUNTER, SR., taken pursuant to stipulation and agreement before Shannon M. Williams, Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large, in the offices of City Hall, 601 12th Street, Phenix City, Alabama, on Wednesday, April 4, 2007, commencing at approximately 10:45 a.m. EST.

Causey Peterson Reporting, Inc. Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
3	THOMAS A. WOODLEY Woodley & McGillivary
4	1125 15th Street N.W. Suite 400
, 5	Washington, D.C. 20005
6	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
7 8 9	JAMES P. GRAHAM, JR. 712 13th Street P.O. Box 3380 Phenix City, Alabama 36868-3380
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	David Davis H.H. Roberts
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Causey Peterson Reporting, Inc. Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111

Page 5 of 59

STIPULATIONS

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties that the deposition of WALLACE BURNS HUNTER, SR., is taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that said deposition may be taken before Shannon M. Williams, Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large, without the formality of a commission; that objections to questions other than objections as to the form of the questions need not be made at this time but may be reserved for a ruling at such time as the deposition may be offered in evidence or used for any other purpose as provided for by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties in this case that said deposition may be introduced at the trial of this case or used in any manner by either party hereto provided for by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

* * * * * * * * *

.25

WALLACE BURNS HUNTER, SR.

The witness, having first been duly sworn or affirmed to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

THE REPORTER: Usual stipulations?

MR. GRAHAM: We do want to read and sign.

He's our designated 30(b)(6).

MR. WOODLEY: I'm going to go into that in just a couple minutes for the Record.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOODLEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Hunter, could you please state your full name for the Record, please, sir?
 - A. Wallace Burns Hunter, Sr..
- Q. You're currently the fire chief of Phenix City Fire Department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Chief Hunter, my name is Tom Woodley, and I'm one of the attorneys representing the plaintiff, David Davis, in this pending federal court action. And I assume that you're aware that you are named as an individual defendant in your individual capacity as well as your official capacity in this lawsuit; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Causey Peterson Reporting, Inc. Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111

Q. Are you at least in general familia	ar with
the allegations and the issues that have been	en raisec
in this lawsuit?	

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Have you had an opportunity to spend some time with the city attorneys about the issues in the lawsuit and the procedures that we'll be following today in your deposition?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before in another case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. Is that more than one other case?
- A. I guess it was basically -- yes, it was one, I guess, or -- two cases were basically combined, yes.
- Q. So in light of that experience and the chance that you have had to spend with the city attorneys, is it fair to say that you are familiar with the procedures that we're going to be following today in your deposition?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Again, you sat in on the earlier deposition of the mayor and so you saw how that went. I'll be asking questions. We expect you to give full,

A. No, sir.

- Q. And, of course, lastly, you're obviously under oath and therefore sworn to tell the truth under the potential penalty of perjury. Do you understand that, Chief Hunter?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Let's refer to the notice of depositions because the city has designated you as the Rule 30(b)(6) deponent. And you can turn to the binder of exhibits that we have in front of you, which the first exhibit is Exhibit Number 1. And on page two of that Notice of the Deposition, it indicates certain paragraphs that you have been once again selected by the city and the other defendants as the Rule 30(b)(6) representative to give knowledgeable and authoritative testimony on the issues that are involved in this case.

And I want to summarize those areas just so you are again aware of the subjects that you have been authorized to be the Rule 30(b)(6) witness. And that would be the employment of plaintiff David Davis and the circumstances surrounding his termination by the Phenix City Fire Department. Do you understand that, sir?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And then in subparagraph (b) of the notice,
it also indicates that you're the representative
witness giving testimony on any communications that
Mr. Davis has had with the mayor, the city council,
persons in the city manager's office, persons in the
city's Human Resource Department and directors or
persons in the city Fire Department. Do you
understand that as well?

A. Yes, sir.

21.

- Q. And the third area would be communications that Mr. Davis has had regarding staffing in the fire department, health and safety of fire and rescue service personnel, the adequacy and efficiency of fire department operations to protect the citizens in this city, and response times and other personnel and employee morale in the fire department. Do you understand that as well, Chief Hunter?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The additional area that you have been designated is communications about fire department matters involving the employees and other issues. You're aware of that as well?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And then you've also been designated as the

witness concerning communications that relate in any way to the phone conversation that Mr. Davis had with one of the other defendants, Mayor Jeffrey Hardin, on or about April 17, 2006, regarding the proposed extension of the probationary period. Do you understand that as well, Chief Hunter?

- A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- Q. And then lastly would be the subject of the facts and documents which relate in any way to the chain of command within the fire department. You're aware of that as well, Chief Hunter?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.0

Q. Let me invite your attention to another exhibit within the binder in front of you. And I should say again for the Record that the city attorney also has a full set of these exhibits for his review during the deposition.

Exhibit 6, Chief Hunter, appears to be a job description of the job title of fire chief that has been furnished to me by the city in this case. Are you familiar with this job description?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As far as you know, Chief Hunter, is this an accurate job description of what you do as the fire chief?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRAHAM: Make sure you read it now.

Q. Again, Chief Hunter, when I ask you about these papers and exhibits, make sure that you have an adequate time to review them before you respond to my questions.

Chief Hunter, have you had an adequate time to review your job description?

- A. Hold on one second.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So the general question I have, is this a fairly accurate and complete description of your job as the fire chief?
- A. Somewhat. It's always if the city manager give you any other details to do, any extra, it may not be written in here but you have --
- Q. And in line of authority, this job description says you, as the fire chief, report to the city manager; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And how long have you been the fire chief?
 - A. Since -- officially, since May of 2005.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you just go back and trace for us your career in the city's fire department,

how you moved up to the top position?

A. Okay. I started here in June of 1986. I worked as a firefighter until April of — I think it was April of '89, I believe. And I worked as a driver/engineer from April of '89 until 1995, I became a captain through promotions. And I worked as a captain until 1998. I became an assistant chief. And then from '98 to 2001 — in 2001, I became interim fire chief, and for a brief time in 2001, I was the fire chief.

And I went from there, from being fire chief, I stepped out of that position and I went to being a deputy chief. And I stayed at the deputy chief until my current appointment as fire chief.

- Q. Okay. And you may have said this, but I may have missed it. When were you first appointed as the fire chief?
 - A. This time?
- O. Yes.

- A. In May of 2005.
- Q. Okay. And within your duties and responsibilities as a fire chief here in the city, are you responsible for the recruitment, selection, and hiring and promotion of personnel within the fire department?

Α.	That'	S	correct	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you have the final decisionmaking authority as to hiring in the fire department?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you have the final decisionmaking authority as to terminations of employees in the fire department?
- A. As far as recommendations as far as termination.
- Q. So you make a recommendation if an individual should be terminated from the fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And who do you make that recommendation to?
- A. I make that recommendation to the city manager.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the city manager has the final decisionmaking authority to terminate an employee from the fire department?
- A. Basically the way I have done things since I have been in as chief is make the recommendation and always run things through the city attorney.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. We make a recommendation I make a recommendation to the city manager, and we always

try to make sure we run things through the city attorney.

- Q. Okay. But in terms of the individual who has the final decisionmaking authority within the city's structure concerning a possible termination of a City employee, is it your understanding that it is the city manager who makes that final decision?
- A. Well, I just can't put that on the city manager. I make a recommendation. It's my department. And when it's reached that point, if it reach that point for terminate action, we go through the city manager to make sure it's okay.
- Q. But who has the final sign off on somebody being fired in the fire department?
 - A. I guess it would be the city manager.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. I guess.
- Q. Do you, as fire chief, have authority to issue other forms of discipline without the input and approval of the city manager such as suspensions or written reprimands?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And where does your authority stop? Is it at the level of someone being terminated where you say the city manager has to make that choice?

- A. Well, that's a last resort that you want to go to is termination. So when you get to that point, you must always make sure you go through the city manager with that, let him know that.
- Q. But if you as fire chief wanted to suspend someone, you don't have to go through the city manager to suspend a firefighter, do you?
 - A. No. But I inform the city manager.
- Q. And as a long-time veteran employee of the city's fire department, are you aware that the plaintiff, David Davis, had worked for a number of years for the city Fire Department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know how many years he was employed in the city Fire Department?
 - A. I think it was about 8 years --
- Q. Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- if I'm correct.
- Q. If I mention that Mr. Davis was hired in the city Fire Department in April of 1998, would that sound about right to you?
 - A. Yes, sir, somewhere in there.
 - Q. Are you aware that Mr. Davis was promoted to the rank of sergeant in the city Fire Department?
 - A. That's correct.

1	Q. Did you have any input into that promotion
2	of Mr. Davis?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. Did you recommend that he be promoted to
5 .	sergeant?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And why did you do that?
8	A. Because he had met the requirements.
9	Q. Did you think he was doing a good job and
10	deserved to be promoted to sergeant?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Do you remember approximately when that
13	was, at least the year that he was promoted to
14	sergeant?
15	A. I guess somewhere around if I'm correct,
16	2002 or 2003, somewhere in there.
17	Q. Okay. Let me invite your attention to
18	another exhibit, Chief Hunter, which would be
19	Exhibit 18, and this appears to be a memo from
20	Deputy Chief Roy Waters addressed to yourself as the
21	fire chief dated February 6, 2006. And the re line
22	is, quote, letter to Mr. H.H. Roberts, end quote.
23	A. Hold on. Let me see.
24	Q. Exhibit 18.

I got it.

Yes.

Okay.

Α.

- Q. And it's addressed to you, so I assume you received this on or about February 6, 2006; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And you'll see at the very tail-end at the bottom of this first page of this memo from Deputy Chief Waters to yourself, he indicates, quote, as I have communicated to you on several occasions, David Davis is doing an outstanding job for me and has a very positive and professional attitude, end quote. Do you see where it says that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As far as you know, was Deputy Chief Roy Waters speaking honestly and truthfully when he made that statement to you in the memo?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And did you, sir, agree with that statement coming from your Deputy Chief, Mr. Waters, about the job performance of Mr. Davis up to at least February 6, 2006?
 - A. I was happy about it.
 - Q. You were happy about it?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But did you agree with it as far as you knew as the fire chief, that Mr. Davis was doing a

good job and had a very positive and professional attitude?

- A. I took Chief Waters' word for that, yes.
- Q. Did you have any reason to disagree?
- A. No, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Does the city and its fire department have a policy of giving annual evaluations of the firefighters employed in the department?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. WOODLEY: Okay. Let's go off the record for a second.

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. WOODLEY: We can go back on the record.

- Q. Chief Hunter, let me move to a different subject matter. At some point in time, were you aware that the Phenix City Fire Department employees formed a labor organization in which they became members of the labor association?
 - A. Yes, sir. I was a part of it.
 - Q. You were a part of it yourself?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. You were a member?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you remember when you first became a

Causey Peterson Reporting, Inc. Post Office Box 81 Columbus, Georgia 31902 (706) 317-3111 member of the firefighters' local union?

- A. It was back when they began it, when it began. I couldn't tell you the exact date.
 - Q. Is this years ago or --
- A. Yes, sir. Current current association that's in place.
- Q. And would that be Local 3668 affiliated with the International Association of Firefighters?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you still a member of that union?
- A. I'm in International now. International Fire Chiefs Association.
- Q. Okay. So at some point in time, you dropped out of the local union that consisted of the firefighters?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you remember roughly when you left that local firefighters' organization?
- A. When I became an officer. It was somewhere in -- I can't remember the exact date, but --
- Q. Okay. Did it come to your attention at some point in time that Mr. David Davis became a vice-president of the firefighters' local labor organization?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did it also come to your attention	at some
point that Mr. Davis became the president of	the
firefighters' local labor organization?	

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And do you remember roughly when that was that you were informed that he was the new president of the local union?
- A. I can't tell you an exact date, but I remember, you know, knowing about it, you know, not too much.
- Q. Do you remember how it came to your attention? Did someone tell you he was the new president of the local union?
- A. I believe so. I probably overheard some talk in the stations.
- Q. Does the city recognize the firefighters' local union as a representative of the firefighters?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. And why not?
 - A. Mr. Roberts explained that in the letter.
- Q. Have you ever had meetings or conversations with David Davis, in his capacity as the president of the local labor organization, about any issues or concerns that the firefighters had?
 - A. Have I had a meeting with him?

Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you had any conversations with Mr. Davis in his role as the president of the local union about issues that were of concern to the members of the fire department?
 - A. No, sir. I never was given a chance to.
 - Q. Okay. Well, could you elaborate on that?
- A. Just -- I just never was given a chance.

 If it was some concerns from that direction, I never was given -- was asked to have a meeting.
 - Q. Was what?
- A. No one ever asked to have a meeting with me.
- Q. So Mr. Davis never asked to sit down with you and talk about morale or staffing levels or any issues like that?
 - A. No. sir.
- Q. Have you ever had collective meetings with the firefighters about issues of concern they might have in the fire department?
- A. The way the chain of command work, mostly any issues or concerns that firefighters have, they are to give those to the captains and they'll pass it on to the assistant chiefs, and the assistant

chiefs come directly to myself. Or unless we was having — if they are having a shift meeting or something that I would attend, I would attend and hear people's views then. I'm very open.

Q. You have an open door policy?

- A. I'm open to people's views, yes, sir, if I'm given a chance.
- Q. Did you ever invite Mr. Davis or any other leaders of the local firefighters union to come in and discuss issues with you?
- A. I had no reason to. I wasn't -- like I say, I wasn't given a chance of these concerns.
- Q. But there were, in fact, a lot of newspaper articles, right, that firefighters were expressing their concerns about employee morale and shift schedules and staffing levels? In fact, you were interviewed for those articles. Did that give you occasion to bring in the firefighters or local union leaders and sit down and just chat about those issues?
- A. When that came about, sir, it just came out of nowhere basically. And the article was there before I was ever given a chance to address anything.
 - Q. But you, in fact, had a chance, after the

newspaper articles came out, and you were aware of these concerns --

A. That's correct.

- Q. -- about a lot of firefighters?
- A. That's correct. And we did have a counseling session with some of the concerns that was in the newspapers, and I opened the door at that time to them. If that's what they wanted to talk about, they just use the chain and come up through the assistant chiefs, and we could talk about those issues. Because you've got to understand, I've got to address more than I got to address all personnel.
- Q. Right. Would you turn your attention to Exhibit 11, which appears to be a memo from David Davis in his position as vice-president of Local 3668, the Phenix City Firefighters' Association. It's dated January 25, 2005, and it's addressed to then Chief Jerry Prater.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Have you ever seen this memo before today?
 - A. Yes. Chief Prater had this memo.
 - Q. Did Chief Prater give you a copy of it?
 - A. I've seen the one that he had.
 - Q. And did you discuss it with Chief Prater at

that time?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you'll see there that Mr. Davis, on behalf of the firefighters' association, is submitting a proposal which he describes is under the Code of Alabama and asking for a meeting concerning various safety issues, general employment issues, discipline, communications, all of the items that he lists on this document. You see where it says that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when you say you saw the copy that Chief Prater had, did you have a conversation with Chief Prater about the issues addressed in this memo?
- A. Yes, sir. Chief Prater had a conversation with Sergeant Davis about this.
 - Q. Were you involved in that discussion?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you have any communications with Mr. Davis or the local union about these issues?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. So Chief Prater handled it as far as you're concerned?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Are you aware, sir I'm not asking you to
be a lawyer on this question. But are you aware
that, at least in a general sense, that under the
state Code of Alabama that public employees, such as
firefighters in particular, have the right to form a
labor organization and be members of a labor
association?

- Α. Yes, sir. Everybody that's under my direction, as far as firefighter, is two-fold. have the right to be a part and they have the right to not be a part, and I represent all.
- Q. Right. And are you aware under that same provision of the State of Alabama Code that the firefighters not only have a right to be a part of a labor association but also have the right to have a representative to make proposals to a city or county employer about issues of concern? Are you aware of that?
 - Α. Correct.
- And have you been aware of that for a number of years?
 - Α. Yes, sir, I have.
- Let me invite your attention, Chief Hunter, Q. to Exhibit 14, which appears to be at least the first newspaper article, an article from the

Columbus Ledger-Enquirer. And although I don't see a date on the top of this, my understanding — and we'll get to this in a few more questions, is that it came out in September of 2005. And you'll see that you apparently were interviewed as the chief of the Phenix City Fire Department for the purpose of putting this newspaper article together. Do you remember that?

A. Yes, sir.

.7

- Q. You'll see in the second column of this article from the newspaper that the reporter at least indicates the department is in turmoil; and then further down in that second column, there's a quote from Mr. Davis, quote, morale is at the lowest point since I have been here, end quote. And it indicates he's a seven-year veteran and president of the Phenix City Firefighters' Association. Do you see where it says that?
 - A. Correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you were interviewed and then when you read this newspaper article, did you agree or disagree that morale in the fire department was low?
 - A. For some, it might have been. Not for all.
- Q. Do you know if a majority of the firefighters in the city Fire Department are members

of the labor organization that they formed?

- A. I think, if I'm going back, the number was that we got at the review board was at the time was probably about one—third.
- Q. At the review board? The Personnel Board hearing for Mr. Davis?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know at some point in time that there was a majority support among the firefighters in the fire department as being members of the labor organization?
 - A. At one time, it might have been.
- Q. It indicates further in this article that you, Chief Hunter, were the fourth chief in the last seven years. Is that accurate?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Why such a high turnover?
- A. Basically, we've had some -- well, we had some -- I guess some problems and misunderstandings, and we had -- at this time, I hadn't been in the job but three months.
 - O. As the fire chief?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you succeeded Prater?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Do you know what the circumstances were of 0. 2 why Mr. Prater was no longer the fire chief? 3 He signed on for three years. And after his time was up, he decided to go. 4 5 What is Mr. Prater doing now? Is he in the 6 fire department? 7 Α. He's retired. He's retired? 8 0. 9 Α. Yes, sir. It indicates further in the article, quote, 10 over the last five years, 29 firefighters have left 11 12 for a variety of reasons, including retirement and
 - A. It might be. It might be different people for different reasons. Retirement, terminations, other better jobs. You know, the figures they put in there wasn't they can be distorted.

medical disability, end quote. Is that roughly an

- Q. It also indicates in this article the city has spots for 51 firefighters. Is that accurate?
 - A. At that time, it probably was.
 - Q. Is it larger now?
 - A. Yes, sir.

accurate statement?

1.3

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What's the number?
- A. Total, when we're at full capacity, it

would be 65.

- Q. And then going back to the rough date of this article again, it's, I believe, September 2005 it indicates in the right—hand column of the article that 44 are currently on the force. In other words, 44 actively employed fire personnel out of 51 spots in the city Fire Department. Was that roughly correct?
- A. That's correct, but what it didn't tell there was the hiring process was in place. We was having people hired, and it takes several months to have them trained and bring them in. So that number wasn't put in there.
- Q. But at that point in time, there was seven positions not filled within the fire department?
- A. Not necessarily not filled, but because we had I believe we had three people in training, if I'm correct, at that point.
- Q. But was the fire department understaffed at that point? There was not a full complement of actively employed firefighters?
- A. It was just like most of the fire departments that are around; the majority you check will be understaffed because of the hiring process.
 - Q. As a fire chief, do you always like to have

a full complement of fire personnel to do the job?

- A. It would be very nice, but it's not -- it's been pretty tough throughout the country.
- Q. Right now, is there a full complement in the department?
- A. No, sir. We have people in training now. I have -- we have people in training right now.
- Q. So right now, what is the number of understaffed that are not full firefighters training?
 - A. Three.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Three. Later on in the article on page two, it indicates that, quote, Davis puts it this way -- it's about two inches down that first column --
 - A. Okay, got it.
- Q. -- quote, we are reluctant to talk because the significant fear of retaliation, being disciplined or fired, end quote. See where it says that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are you aware of any circumstances that would have supported the statement of Mr. Davis and some of these other firefighters that they were fearful of retaliation or being disciplined or

fired?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. No, sir. I don't see any reason for them to be -- have that, because if anybody wanted to talk, I was right over there in my office. So if they followed the chain of command and used the assistant chiefs that was in line, I would talk to anyone.
- Q. But are you telling me that the firefighters like Sergeant Davis, they can't come directly in to you, I guess, and go in your office and say, Chief, we have an issue of concern about public safety?
 - A. All they had to do is use --
- Q. They've got to go through the chain of command?
- A. That's all they've got to do. It's simple. It's easy.
- Q. So you can't talk to a firefighter directly because that would not be --
 - A. It's easy --
 - Q. -- through the chain of command?
- A. Sir, if someone had genuine concerns and they channeled that up to the captain and assistant chiefs, I'll get in my vehicle and go to the stations and talk to them.

Q. Okay. On page two of this newspaper
article over in the right-hand column, it indicates
that since January 2000, 21 employees have
resigned. Do you know if that's roughly an accurate
statement?

- A. Resigned? I think that mainly should be that's incorrect. That mainly should be referring to that front page that would be part of that would be people that's either terminated, people that's gotten better jobs, people that's left for medical reasons. But as far as resigned, no. It's too good of a job. You won't see that many people resign from it.
- Q. Later on, it has a quote from a Sergeant Ann Land, L-A-N-D. Is she still employed in the fire department?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. At the bottom of the second page, she's quoted in this newspaper article as saying, quote, basically, if you are not happy, you and it's cut off, I think, unfortunately on this copy to leave; that is what we have been, I think, told several times, end quote. See where it says that?
- A. Basically if you was unhappy, you could leave, I guess, what has been told to them. I

guess when -- currently, she's Firefighter of the Year, so she made some adjustments as well as the Department and --

- Q. But she was obviously interviewed for this newspaper article, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

- Q. And, apparently, she was of the view, at least at that time $-\!\!-$
 - A. That's right.
- Q. -- that the view in the department was if you don't like it, you can leave?
- A. It wasn't the view of the department. I guess that's the way --
 - Q. But it was her view apparently?
 - A. -- some people took it -- well, and --
- Q. Okay. And then further on in this article, it talks about the firefighters expressing concern about the elimination of swap time. You're familiar with swap time, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. That's trading in time among firefighters?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Was, in fact, the swap time privilege or right eliminated by the department?
 - A. At one time it was.

1	Q. Is it in place now or is it still
2	eliminated?
3	A. It's in place. It's a better we have a
4	better setup for it this time.
5	Q. What's the current setup for swap time?
6	A. We have a set amount of hours that's set to
7	use.
8	Q. What?
9	A. We have a set amount of hours that you can
10	take. It's also better set up in payroll to make
11	sure everything works out right, so
12	Q. But back in as of September of 2005 when
13	this newspaper article came out, swap time was
14	eliminated; is that accurate?
15	A. For a while, yes.
16	Q. Why was it eliminated, sir?
17	A. Chief Prater felt like there were some
18	things going on the swap time, that it was time
19	to
20	Q. What were the things going on?
21	A to move it for a while. Like if people
22	wasn't keeping, I guess, accurate paperwork. It
23	wasn't training didn't have it it wasn't
24	you have to have a certain amount of training per

month, okay. It was -- they had to put a -- make

sure a cap was kept on top of it, which we always tried to do. So it was some things just went on with it that he felt like needed to be taken away for a while.

- Q. But in the newspaper article, it refers to the fact that council member Ray Bush tried to mediate the differences between the firefighters and the city. Are you aware that that occurred?
- A. I've heard that Ray Bush attended a meeting, but as far as -- I never met with Ray Bush.
- Q. Okay. But you heard information that Council Member Bush attended a meeting with firefighters to address the issues they were raising?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Do you know if anything came out of that meeting that was useful or productive?
 - A. Not that I know of. I don't --
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. You'll see also in Exhibit 14 that there's a collection of letters submitted by various individuals to newspapers about issues of concern within the Phenix City Fire Department. Were you generally aware of these letters to the editor and did you have a chance at the time to review those letters?

	A. 7	At time	es, I	wou	ıld lo	ook	at	some	of	them,
ves,	sir.	Read	some	of	them	bec	aus	se		

- Q. Because of these newspaper articles that were occurring back in 2005, did you participate in any meetings with the fire chief at the time, or the city manager, in which these newspaper articles and media reports were addressed?
 - A. As far as these articles?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. As far as just -- I didn't have to have any special meetings on it. Just something mentioned that things are in the paper. That's about it.
- Q. Well, as a result of the article in September 2005, Exhibit 14, which contains quotations from David Davis and other firefighters, were you asked to conduct any kind of an investigation or review of these comments that the firefighters made in the media reports?
 - A. I wasn't asked. I did one.
 - Q. You did it on your own?
- A. Yes. I basically needed to I'm the department head. I needed to ask why was this done without me being given a chance first to meet with someone over these issues. That was a concern of mines. And then not only that, it was something I

needed to discuss with some of the people that was in the paper. You got your freedom of speech, but at the same time, you gotta watch impeding the harmony within this department and the city. And it was a concern. And that's why I had the meetings and counseling sessions.

- Q. Let me invite your attention to Exhibit 15, which appears to be a memo from you as the fire chief to -- it says member singular, but I believe it was to members -- of the Phenix City Fire Department; is that correct? Is this a memo that you distributed to the --
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. -- members of the fire department?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. You have to wait until I finish answering my questions before you start your answer; otherwise, we'll get in trouble with Madam Reporter.
 - A. Okay.

1 .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. This memo, Exhibit 15, is dated September 20, 2005. Is this, in fact, a memo that you drafted and distributed to the members of the fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And it addresses the article that appeared recently apparently in the Ledger-Enquirer newspaper regarding the city's fire department. Is that accurate?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Then it goes on to say, quote, several firefighters made comments in the paper that were likely to impair discipline and harmony in the workplace, impede job performance, and jeopardize loyalty in this department, end quote. You see where it says that?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And, again, this would have been in response to your review of this newspaper article that we just covered in Exhibit 14 --
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. -- is that accurate?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. What comments made by Mr. Davis and the other firefighters that were quoted in this newspaper article in your judgment impaired discipline and harmony in the fire department?
- A. Basically the comments about people being afraid to talk. What was you afraid to talk when you're not talking to anybody? Why would you --

25.

that's misleading people. Why would you be afraid to talk when you can use the chain of command and come up and talk to me at any time, if you was willing to give me a chance.

- Q. But my question really is focused on and as you just indicated, a number of the firefighters, including Mr. Davis, expressed fears about potential retaliation and discipline. So on that isolated issue, how would that comment by Mr. Davis in the newspaper article impair discipline and harmony in the fire department?
- A. It was misleading statements. Who had been disciplined for anything during my tenure -- short tenure so far? That's misleading.
- Q. But he expressed fear about potential discipline and, in fact, he was fired later on as we know, but --
- A. Well, no. That this right here was to prevent anything. This was to prevent us from even being here today.
- Q. Okay. Give me a specific example of how those comments in the newspaper article of Mr. Davis actually, in fact, disrupted discipline or harmony in the workplace. Give me a real concrete, specific example of what you thought was an adverse

development from that newspaper article.

- A. Okay. Let me go back to this article. Now, what are you mainly talking about?
- Q. I want you to give me a concrete, specific example that occurred after this newspaper article and the comments of Mr. Davis and the other firefighters that you believe actually disrupted or impaired the operation of this fire department
 - A. They hadn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. -- as a result of the article and the comments in the paper?
- A. This is the perception of people that's reading it.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. This is the perception of the readers.
- Q. So what are you referring to there? A three alarm turmoil?
- A. Basically. And that the morale the statements that are being made, what are you doing as an employee of the city or the fire department to help, you know, with that. So when you look at that, that's a disruption itself when you haven't given the people that you're working for any type of opportunity or chance to discuss these things.
 - Q. Were there fires not put out, rescues not

conducted because of that newspaper article and the quotations from Mr. Davis about employee morale and staffing?

- A. No. We answer the calls.
- Q. You answer the calls?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

- Q. Any other specific disruption that you can point to that you were really troubled by as the fire chief as a result of these newspaper articles and comments made by Davis and other firefighters?
- A. As far as okay. Directly by Mr. Davis during the counseling session after this, I had a firefighter, Brandon Wilkerson, that said that he was being bullied by David Davis to make phone calls and complain. And I expressed this to David and we talked to him about this
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- when he came in and we did his counseling session. So it was some things from this that came out of it.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. Mainly just as far as not wanting to communicate, but all of these different things in the paper here. But as fire chief, I wasn't given a chance to go over any of these issues or to talk

about them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. But, again, can you give me any specific examples of disruption or impairment --
 - A. I just gave you --
- Q. You have to let me finish my question, please, Chief Hunter.
 - A. Sorry.
- Q. Other than what you've just mentioned, can you give me any other specific or concrete examples of impairment of the fire department or disruption of fire department operations that were resulting from the comments of Mr. Davis and the other firefighters in this newspaper article that came out in September of 2005?
- A. That's another one -- okay. Another one in here, I guess, would be Councilman Bush being in here to mediate something. He's mediating something that I didn't know anything about.
- Q. Did you speak to Council Member Bush about --
 - A. No.
 - O. -- his role as mediator?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Were you upset about that?
 - A. Well, no, I wasn't upset about it.

11	Q. Did you think his involvement was
2	disruptive to your authority as fire chief?
3	A. Councilman Bush don't know the charter like
4	I do.
5	Q. Did you speak to Council Member Bush
6	about
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q his role?
9	A. It's not my authority. It's not in my
10	realm of authority to speak to him about it.
11	Q. But at the time that he was trying to
12	apparently be helpful as a mediator between the
13	firefighters and fire department, do you think he
14	was intruding upon the chain of command?
15	A. I don't know if he was being to me, I
16	don't know if he was being helpful or harmful.
17	Q. Do you have any view on that right now?
18	A. No, sir.
L9	Q. Okay. Did you consider at the time, back
20	in September 2005, that Mr. Davis's comments and
21	communications in that newspaper article were a
22	violation of the city's Merit System rules and
23	regulations?
24	A. Yes, sir.

And why do you say yes?

Q.

A. Because it was something that could have been handled a different way. And, basically, like I say, they didn't give me a opportunity to come in there. And this right here is going to — is going to disrupt your normal operation.

Q. So in other words, if I understand your testimony —

A. Because of other things that could have been done to prevent this.

- Q. Okay. So if I understand your testimony, you think it's contrary to the city's Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter like
 Mr. Davis would directly talk to the media about issues of public concern, fire department safety, health and welfare of the firefighters? You think that would be a violation of the Merit rules and regulations; is that correct?
- A. If it's something that's going to impede or harm the operation of the department, yes, sir.
- Q. Would it be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations by a firefighter if he or she spoke directly to the media about inadequate staffing in the fire department?
- A. Basically, that's something that they could they have the opportunity to talk to me

about, or the assistant chiefs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I understand your position, but you have to listen to my question, if you would, Chief Hunter. I want to know specifically your position and view as to whether or not it would be a violation of the city's Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter in your fire department spoke directly to a media representative about the subject of inadequate staffing in the city fire department.
- A. As far as our rules and regulations, we have persons who can talk to the media about staffing that handles that.
- Q. You have a public relations representative in the fire department that can talk to the media?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let me try this one more time. It's real specific. Would it be a violation of the city's Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter spoke directly to the media
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- about the subject of inadequate staffing in the fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would it be a violation of the city's Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter

employed by the city's fire department spoke directly to a media representative about the health and safety of firefighters on the job?

A. Yes, sir.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Would it also be a violation of those rules and regulations if a firefighter spoke directly to a newspaper or media representative about inadequate protective gear or inadequate fire department equipment and vehicles in the city fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would it also be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations of the city if a firefighter spoke directly to a media representative about insufficient financial resources or inadequate budget in the city's fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would it also be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations of the city if a firefighter spoke directly to a media representative about poor response times or inadequate dispatching procedures in the city's fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Would it be a violation in your view of the

Merit System rules and regulations of the city if a firefighter spoke directly to a media representative about poor employee morale among the fire personnel in the fire department?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Would it be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations of the city if a firefighter spoke directly to a media representative about any alleged corruption or misconduct by fire department officers within the city fire department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, finally, would it be a violation of the city's Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter spoke directly to a media representative about public safety in general within the city?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And I take it with regard to that series of questions that I just asked you about the rules and regulations, that your position and the position of the city is that a firefighter must route any of those expressions of concerns through the chain of command within the city Fire Department before they speak to a media representative; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir. We should be given a chance.

Q. Turn to Exhibit 16, Chief Hunter, if you would, please. This appears to be a counseling form or reprimand addressed to David Davis dated September 21, 2005. Are you familiar with this document?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6.

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. It says here you'll see at the beginning here, it says Sergeant Davis was counseled by Chief Hunter and Assistant Chief Johansen on the 20th of September 2005 concerning him making or publishing statements to the local media concerning fire department issues. And then it goes on further. You see where it says that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this counseling form considered a written reprimand that's placed in the personnel file of Mr. Davis?
- A. It's not a written reprimand. It's just a counseling form to prevent anything from going any further. It's almost like a corrective thing if a person basically gives them the chance, benefit of the doubt that you didn't know. And that's what this is for.
- Q. Is there something else in the fire department that is called a written reprimand?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And have you issued those to firefighters?
- A. Yes, sir. I've had to before.
- Q. Now, at the end of this memo, it says that this counseling form for Mr. Davis was placed in his personnel file. You see where it says that?
 - A. Where you at? 16?

 MR. GRAHAM: Right here.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Yes, right at the bottom.

 MR. GRAHAM: Last sentence.
 - A. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. So your answer is yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It appears to be signed by Assistant Chief Kenneth Johansen; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Again, it sounds like you, as the chief of the department, and Mr. Johansen actually discussed this situation and counseled Mr. Davis about it; is that accurate?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And if I understand your testimony
 earlier -- and you correct me if I'm wrong -- you,
 on your own initiative solely, as the fire chief,

began this investigation and these counseling sessions as a result of the newspaper article that appeared in the newspaper in September 2005?

- A. That's correct. I talked to the city attorney about it.
 - O. Mr. Graham?

1

2

3

Λ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. So you cleared it through him to do this?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you talk to the city manager,
 Mr. Roberts, about investigating this matter?
- A. Well, that's correct. He knew that I had to see about it.
- Q. You had to get his okay to conduct the investigation?
- A. Basically not not his okay but, you know, you want the city manager's blessing to make sure you don't do anything wrong.
- Q. And did you discuss this with City Manager Roberts before you conducted the counseling sessions of Mr. Davis and the other firefighters?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did he tell you not to do the investigation and not to do the counseling as a result of the newspaper article?

1	A. No, sir. Mr. Roberts pretty well let us
2	run our departments.
3	Q. And did you interview or discuss this
4	newspaper article with other firefighters as well as
5	Mr. Davis?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And would that have included William Miles,
8	Lance Wagner, Sergeant Ann Land, Robert Gaskin,
9	Sergeant Bowden, and James Wells as well?
10	A. It was the entire department. And, not
-11	only that, it was the entire city.
12	Q. Entire city?
13	A. Yes, sir. Make sure others in the city
14	didn't make this mistake.
15	Q. Are you telling me that every city employee
16	was interviewed and counseled about this newspaper?
17	A. Not by me, but they was made aware of this
18	situation.
19	Q. Do you know if that was put out in some
20	kind of memorandum form by the city, distributed to
21	other city employees about communications with the
22	media?
23	A. I know what was supposed to have been
24	done. I know what I done in my department.

25

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. WOODLEY: Could we go off the record

for a second?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. WOODLEY: Let's take five.

(Brief recess.)

MR. WOODLEY: Okay. We're back on the record.

- Q. Okay, Chief Hunter. We can go back on the record after a brief break. And we were talking about the investigation or review that you and the city conducted of the firefighters in response to that newspaper article that came out in September 2005. So that's the context in which we left. Did you sit in on these individual counseling or interview sessions of the firefighters that I just listed their names?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And did the Assistant Chief Johansen sit in on those interviews as well?
- A. On the people that was his personnel. We have three assistant chiefs at the time.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And they all have different groups of firefighters.
- Q. Now, in Exhibit 16, which is in front of you, the first two pages are the counseling forms;

one which involves Mr. Davis concerning his statements to the local media, and the one right after that involves Captain Robert Gaskin, G-A-S-K-I-N, who received also a counseling form for his statements to the local media. You see where both of those documents say that?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And as I understand it -- you correct me if I'm wrong -- those were the only two individual firefighters who received these counseling forms as a result of their comments to the newspaper; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. That is correct?
- A. In this particular, they got -- well, counseling forms, yes, sir.
- Q. Did they get something else that you were about to say?
- A. No, sir. I said counseling forms, that's correct.
- Q. But none of the other firefighters who were interviewed received counseling forms or any form of discipline as a result of their comments in the newspaper; is that correct?
 - A. All of them had to sign the forms, the

papers about the articles. Let me read Gaskins and check this out, make sure.

Q. Sure.

A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Again, just so the testimony record is clear, the only two individuals that received these written counseling forms from the fire department as a result of statements to the local media were Mr. Davis and Mr. Gaskin; is that correct?
- A. Yes. Counseling form on here with Mr. Davis was concerning also with the derogatory statements he made toward Firefighter Brandon Wilkerson, which Wilkerson took as intimidation, and it was because of that. And Gaskin being a captain and a officer he was the only officer in there he made the comment that basically he would rather be on the fire truck, I think, in Iraq. And
 - Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- that was the reason.
- Q. Sergeant Ann Land did not receive a counseling form as a result of her comments in the newspaper article; is that correct?
- A. The only reason these two -- that's correct. And the only reason these two received it

is because, like I say, the harassment of Brandon Wilkerson and with Gaskin being a officer. Land — let me — let me see what she said.

Q. She was the one that was quoted as saying --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRAHAM: His question is, she didn't get a counseling form.

A. Oh. No, she didn't get one.

MR. GRAHAM: Doesn't make any difference what she was saying.

- Q. To follow up on that, though, she was quoted in that newspaper article that we looked at, which was Exhibit 14, as saying that if you're not happy in your job in the fire department, you leave.
- A. Well, no. I think if you get that correct statement, she said someone told her that.
- Q. So you apparently felt like she didn't need a counseling form as a result of --
 - A. Someone who had said that to her.
- Q. Okay. Was there any particular statement that Mr. Davis made in that newspaper article that troubled you so much that you wanted to issue this counseling form to him?
 - A. It was two-fold.
 - Q. I'm just talking about in the newspaper

article.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23.

24

- A. Newspaper article? Basically --
- Q. Was his comment about employee morale being poor, did that prompt you to give him the counseling form? Or it was some other comment that he might have made in the newspaper article that caused you to issue the counseling form?
- A. Not necessarily that, no. It was mainly his counseling form, like I say on here, was we talked about the issues of publications in the paper and then his harassment of Brandon Wilkerson.
- Q. And my question is just focusing on his statements to the local media, just that part of the equation. Okay? My question is, was there anything in particular of his comments or quotes in the newspaper article that caused you to be troubled and to prompt the investigation and then ultimately causing the counseling form to be issued to Mr. Davis?
 - A. The whole thing was troubling.
- Q. But you can't zero in on his comment about employee morale or staffing concerns in the department that caused you to do the investigation and issue the counseling form to Mr. Davis?
 - A. The main thing was not giving me the

opportunity to address it.

- Q. What is your position as the chief of the fire department and the Rule 30(b)(6) witness in this case on the following subject: If a firefighter has concerns about public safety or operations in the fire department, or poor morale, or understaffing, and they exhaust the chain of command they route those concerns up to their first officer and ultimately to you do they then, after you consider that matter, do they then have the right to go to the local media and issue and state those same exact concerns?
- A. They still don't have the right. But if they bring them to me, I could guarantee anyone that I would try to do my best to resolve them.
- Q. Okay. But in the situation, so I understand it, Chief Hunter, if a firefighter raises those issues of safety, staffing, poor morale that involve the fire department, and he or she routes those up to you at the highest level in the fire department, and that firefighter is not satisfied with what you're doing on it and your response, is it your position the firefighter at that point would violate Merit System rules and regulations if he or she went to the media to express those exact same

concerns?

1

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So there's really no circumstances, as far as you and the city are concerned, in which a firefighter can go to the media expressing concerns about safety or response times or staffing or morale in the fire department, right?
- A. I guess if it was all-out corruption. But according to the Merit System, you have to follow it. But I have never seen that type of conditions here.
- Q. Okay. But on the issues of staffing, fire department equipment and protective gear and response times, the firefighter at no time can go to the media and address those issues; is that correct?
- A. They shouldn't. They should come to the fire chief. They should have used the chain of command. You know, use that, and we would explain to people that we're doing our best and show them --
- Q. I want to be clear on this. Even after it goes to you and they're not happy with your response as the fire chief, do they then have the right, or would they violate the rules and regulations of the city, if they then went to the media and expressed those same concerns?

- A. They would violate that, but we have never had that -- I've never had the opportunity.

 Q. Fair enough. Good enough.

 Did there come a point in time where you and the fire department wanted to propose extending the probationary period for new hires into the fire department from one year to 18 months?

 A. That's correct, for new hires.
 - Q. For the new hires only?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. But that would not have applied and has not applied to veteran firefighters already working in the department, correct?
- A. Wouldn't have disturbed their careers at all.
- Q. And so that would not have applied to Mr. Davis, who had been an 8-year veteran of the fire department, correct?
 - A. None whatsoever.
- Q. What prompted that proposed extension of the 18-month period in the fire department for probation?
- A. Retentions and investment of firefighters. We hire firefighters. State law gives you one year to train them. We also require that they become